ACCP Annual Meeting 2020 SYM 17: Innovative Approaches in the Use of Exposure-Response in Therapeutic Proteins to Support Pediatric Extrapolation

The Use of Exposure-Response With Therapeutic Proteins in Pediatric Drug Development

Marc R. Gastonguay, Ph.D.

CEO, Metrum Research Group

Exposure Matching is Not the Topic of Discussion Today



FIGURE 5. Comparison of dosing strategy between model-based and EU labeled dosing. A, Bu AUC distribution using approved EU labeling dosing. B, Bu AUC distribution using model-based dosing.

Paci et al. Pharmacokinetic Behavior and Appraisal of Intravenous Busulfan Dosing in Infants and Older Children: The Results of a Population Pharmacokinetic Study From a Large Pediatric Cohort Undergoing Hematopoietic Stem-Cell Transplantation. Ther Drug Monit 2012;34:198–208.

Guidance for Industry

Exposure-Response Relationships — Study Design, Data Analysis, and Regulatory Applications

- "A dose-response study is one kind of adequate and well-controlled trial that can provide primary clinical evidence of effectiveness."
- "Exposure-response information can support the primary evidence of safety and/or efficacy."
- "In general, the more critical a role that exposure-response information is to play in the establishment of efficacy, the more critical it is that it be derived from an adequate and well controlled study (see 21 CFR 314.126), whatever endpoints are studied."

Hypothetical True Exposure-Response Relationship



4

Ideal E-R Study Design Characteristics



Ideal E-R Study Design Characteristics



Design-driven range in predictor (e.g. randomized to dose or exposure) is key.

Ideal E-R Study Design Characteristics: Individual E-R



Design-driven range in predictor (e.g. randomized to dose or exposure) is key.

RA and pJIA Trial Designs: Adequate for E-R?

	Adult trials	Doses in pivotal RA	Pediatric trial	Dose in pivotal PJIA
Adalimumab	DB, PC	2 doses	RW	1 BSA based dose
Golimumab SC	DB, PC	2 doses	RW	1 BSA based dose
Infliximab	DB, PC	3 doses	DB, PC	1 WGT based dose
Etanercept	DB, PC	3 doses	RW	1 WGT based dose
Abatacept IV	DB, PC	3 doses	RW	1 WGT based dose
Tocilizumab	DB, PC	2 doses	RW	2 WGT based doses

Slide courtesy of Renu Singh. FDA/UMD CERSI pJIA Drug Development Workshop - October 2, 2019

5









Strong Interest in Understanding Causal E-R Relationships



Concern About Confounded Causal Inference is Not New



14

Possible Solutions to Confounded Exposure-Response

- Case matching or model-based adjustment for confounding
 - Not practical for small sample size
- Randomize exposure across population through randomized dose range
 - > Broad range needed for accurate inferences, may not be practical
 - > 2 doses may be diagnostic for confounded E-R
 - >MCPMOD approach may be useful
- Within-individual exposure-response designs
- Make inferences from randomized dose-response designs (avoid E-R)
- Use biomarkers or mechanistic understanding to guide dose selection
- Most of these solutions are impractical in pediatric clinical trials rely on thorough E-R design and analysis in adults

Possible Diagnostic for Confounded Population E-R



E-R in Pediatric Drug Development with Therapeutic Proteins: Where Do We Go From Here?

- Acknowledge that adequate and well controlled exposure-response studies are very difficult and probably impractical in pediatric development programs.
- Understand that apparent exposure-response relationships resulting from inadequate designs lead to misguided inferences.

- Adapt decision-making in this context.



Acknowledgements

Metrum Research Group Team

Academic, Industry, Government, Non-Profit Scientists

Pediatric Trial Participants and Families

Related References

Casak et al. FDA Approval Summary: Ramucirumab for Gastric Cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2015; 21(15): 3372-6. Gruber S and van der Laan MJ. Consistent causal effect estimation under dual misspecification and implications for confounder selection procedures. Stat Meth Med Res. 2015; 24(6): 1003-8. Nedelman JR, Rubin DB, and Sheiner LB. Diagnostics for confounding in PK/PD models for oxcarbazepine. Stat Med. 2007; 26:290-308. Peck C and Ludden T. Pitfalls in Retrospective Analysis in Search of Concentration-Effect Relationships. PAGE 3 (1994) Abstr 867 [www.page-meeting.org/?abstract=867] Petersen ML and van der Laan MJ. Causal Models and Learning from Data: Integrating Causal Modeling and Statistical Estimation. Epidemiology. 2014; 25(3): 418-425. Sheiner LB and Rubin DB. Intention-to-treat analysis and goals of clinical trials. *Clin Pharm Ther.* 1995; 57: 6-15. Wang J et al. Exposure-Response Relationship of T-DM1: Insight into Dose Optimization for Patients with HER2-Positive Metastatic Breast Cancer. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2015; 95(5) Yang JY et al. The Combination of Exposure-Response and Case-Control Analyses in Regulatory Decision Making. J Clin Pharmacol. 2012; 53(2): 160-6.